

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble		
REDUCING HEALTH INEQUALITIES												
Life expectancy (LE) at birth, 2010-12, Males	82.1	79.2	78.2			-	-	-	79.0	↑	80.1	↑
Life expectancy (LE) at birth, 2010-12, Females	85.9	83.0	82.0			-	-	-	81.9	↔	82.8	↓
Healthy life expectancy at birth, 2009-11, Males	70.3	63.2	62.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Healthy life expectancy at birth, 2009-11, Females	72.1	64.2	63.3		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slope index of inequality in LE at birth based on national deprivation deciles within England:												
Slope index of inequality, 2010-12, Males (provisional)	-	9.2	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slope index of inequality, 2010-12, Females (provisional)	-	6.8	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slope index of inequality in LE at birth within English local authorities, based on local deprivation deciles within each area, (provisional)												
Slope index of inequality, 2010-12, Males	-	-	9.9			-	-	-	8.7	↑	8.9	↑
Slope index of inequality, 2010-12, Females	-	-	7.6			-	-	-	7.2	↑	6.5	↑
Gap in LE at birth between each local authority and England as a whole, 2010-12, Males	2.9	0.0	-1.0		-	-	-	-	-0.2	↑	0.9	↓
Gap in LE at birth between each local authority and England as a whole, 2010-12, Females	2.9	0.0	-1.0		-	-	-	-	-1.1	↓	-0.2	↓
Slope index of inequality in healthy life expectancy at birth based on national deprivation deciles within England												
Slope index of inequality, 2009-11, Males	-	19.3	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slope index of inequality, 2009-11, Females	-	20.1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IMPROVING PATIENT EXPERIENCE												
Patient experience of GP out of hours service, (%), July 2012 to March 2013	85.4	-	-			70.2	73.7	84.8	-	-	-	-
Patient experience of hospital care, (%), 2013-14	87.0	76.9	74.6% - Lancashire Teaching Hospitals			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall satisfaction of carers with social services, (%), 2012-13	65.4	42.7	41.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDUCING ADMISSIONS/COSTS												
Emergency hospital admission for self-harm, (DSR per 100,000), 2012/13	50.4	188.0	247.3			-	-	-	218.5	↓	188.8	↓
Alcohol-related admissions to hospital per 100,000 population, 2012/13	365.0	636.9	698.4			-	-	-	656.4	↓	605.1	↓
Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions, (DSR), 2012/13	184.8	820.5	1001.8			802.8	1,056.1	907.3	923.6	↓	839.1	↓
Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions, (DSR), Jan 13 to Dec 13	-	-	-		-	780.0	1,008.2	834.7	-	-	-	-
Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission, (DSR), 2012/13	324.7	1204.3	1418.3			1,181.9	1,468.4	1,197.1	1,177.8	↓	1,165.1	↓
Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission, (DSR), Jan 13 to Dec 13	-	-	-		-	1,174.2	1,469.2	1,196.3	-	-	-	-
STARTING WELL												
PROMOTING HEALTHY PREGNANCY												
Low birthweight of term live births, (%), 2011	1.6	2.8	2.7			-	-	-	3.1	↑	2.6	↑
Smoking at time of delivery (SATOD), 2013/14	1.9	12.0	16.8			-	17.8	16.6	-	-	-	-
Smoking at time of delivery (SATOD), 2013/14 - Q4	-	12.3	16.6		-	-	17.6	15.6	-	-	-	-
REDUCING INFANT MORTALITY												
Infant mortality (Rate per 1,000 live births), 2010-12	1.1	4.1	4.8			-	-	-	4.6	↓	4.0	↑
REDUCING CHILDHOOD OBESITY												
Children aged 4-5 classified as overweight or obese, (%), 2012/13	16.1	22.2	23.5			-	-	-	22.0	↑	21.4	↓
Children aged 10-11 classified as overweight or obese, (%), 2012/13	24.1	33.3	32.4			-	-	-	30.4	↑	33.2	↑
SUPPORTING CHILDREN WITH LONG TERM CONDITIONS												
Emergency admissions for children with LRTI, (DSR), 2012/13	73.3	371.2	suppressed		-	399.6	550.7	382.7	suppressed	-	448.4	-
Emergency admissions for children with LRTI, (DSR), Jan 13 to Dec 13	-	-	-		-	375.8	506.8	307.5	-	-	-	-
Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s, (ISR), 2012/13	75.1	340.6	526.0			336.9	557.9	353.2	289.7	↓	421.8	↑
Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s, (ISR), Jan 13 to Dec 13	-	-	-		-	309.0	494.2	279.4	-	-	-	-
SUPPORTING VULNERABLE FAMILIES AND CHILDREN												
Children in poverty (all dependent children under 20), (%), 2011	2.9	20.1	17.8			-	-	-	13.1	↑	12.1	↑
Children in poverty, (under 16s), (%), 2011	2.8	20.6	18.2			-	-	-	13.6	↔	12.5	↑

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble		
LIVING WELL												
PROMOTING HEALTHY SETTINGS, HEALTHY WORKFORCE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT												
Long-term unemployment, (crude rate), 2013	2.3	9.9	7.2			-	-	-	5.0	↑	3.9	↑
Gap in the employment rate between the below and the overall employment rate: those with a long-term health condition and overall employment rate, 2012	-5.3	7.1	8.7			-	-	-	7.8		4.3	
those in contact with secondary mental health services, 2012/13	53.1	62.3	69.4			-	-	-	-		-	
PROMOTING MENTAL WELLBEING & HEALTHY LIFESTYLES												
Domestic abuse incidents reported to the police, (Rate per 1,000), 2012/13	5.6	18.8	26.5			-	-	-	-		-	
Statutory homelessness acceptances per 1,000 households, 2012/13	0.2	2.4	0.8			-	-	-	0.5	↓	0.9	↓
Hospital admissions for violent crime (including sexual violence), (%), 2010/11-2012/13	9.3	57.6	66.9			-	-	-	44.6	↓	43.5	↓
REDUCING AVOIDABLE DEATHS												
Mortality from causes considered preventable, (Rate per 100,000), 2010-12 - Males	164.9	238.4	266.8			-	-	-	230.7	↓	211.4	↓
Mortality from causes considered preventable, (Rate per 100,000), 2010-12 - Females	94.7	140.6	164.4			-	-	-	147.6	↓	144.4	↑
NHS Health Check offered, (%) to be updated soon	-	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	
NHS Health Check uptake, (%) to be updated soon	-	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	
IMPROVING OUTCOMES FOR PEOPLE WITH LEARNING DIFFICULTIES												
Adults with learning disabilities who live in their own home or with family, (%), 2012-13	96.6	73.5	86.6			-	-	-	-		-	
Gap in the employment rate between the below and the overall employment rate: those with a learning disability and the overall employment rate, 2011/12	40.2	63.2	67.2			-	-	-	-		-	
AGEING WELL												
PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE												
Percentage of older people still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation service: Offered the service, 65+, 2012-13	25.4	3.2	1.3			-	-	-	-		-	
Effectiveness of the service, 65+, 2012-13	98.1	81.4	82.0			-	-	-	-		-	
REDUCING SOCIAL ISOLATION												
Adult social care users who have as much social contact as they would like, (%), 2012/13	53.5	43.2	46.3			-	-	-	-		-	
Loneliness and isolation in adult carers, (%), 2012/13	23.9	41.3	38.3			-	-	-	-		-	
MANAGING LONG-TERM CONDITIONS AND DEMENTIA												
People who feel supported to manage their long-term condition, (DS %), Jul 2012 - Mar 2013	78.9	65.6	65.7			65.6	65.3	66.5	63.8	↓	67.4	↑
Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia, 2012/13	-	48.7	-			-	-	-	-		-	
REDUCING EMERGENCY ADMISSIONS & DIRECT ADMISSIONS TO RESIDENTIAL CARE												
Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge - persons, (%), 2011/12	7.9	11.8	12.3			-	-	-	11.4	↑	12.1	↑
Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge - males, (%), 2011/12	8.6	12.1	12.7			-	-	-	12.4	↑	11.8	↑
Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge - females, (%), 2011/12	7.2	11.5	11.9			-	-	-	10.4	↓	12.3	↑
Hip fractures in people aged 65-79, (Rate per 100,000), 2012/13	121.8	237.3	241.6			-	-	-	181.9	↓	178.8	↓
Hip fractures in people aged 80+, (Rate per 100,000), 2012/13	1108.0	1,527.6	1,486.5			-	-	-	1,609.4	↓	1,673.7	↑
SUPPORTING CARERS AND FAMILIES												
Percentage satisfaction of people using services with their care & support, 2012-13	73.9	64.1	66.8			-	-	-	-		-	

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble

Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.


England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)
 Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble
-------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----	---	-----------	----------------------	-----	----------------------------	---------	--------------



Appendix 2.3 - Lancashire Health and Wellbeing Outcomes - Chorley and South Ribble CCG & districts

Figures as at July 2014

Definition: Significance (S) denotes if LCC figures are statistically significant against national value: green(significantly better), red(significantly worse), orange(not significant), grey(not tested)

Significance for districts shown by colour of figures, same colour key used as stated above.

England¹/district figures - NHS Outcomes; England²/LAT/CCG figures - CCG Indicator Set

For further information please contact: tracy.pickens@lancashire.gov.uk

Opportunities for Improvement

Red figures denote significantly worse than national average, arrows denote positive/negative increase/decrease on figures from the previous year

OVERARCHING GOALS	England best (County & UA)	England ¹	LCC	S	LCC Trend	England ²	LAT	Chorley & South Ribble CCG	Chorley	South Ribble